

Data Processing Intervals through Dynamical Models Applied to the Analysis of Self-Degenerative Systems

Ricardo Tomás Ferreyra

Facultad de Ciencias Exactas, Físicas y Naturales
 Universidad Nacional de Córdoba, UNC
 Córdoba, Argentina
ricardotf45@hotmail.com

Abstract— A dynamical model was applied to analyze the data from an actual complex dynamical system. Data are processed at computed intervals of time, which were obtained from the analytical model. This process was developed to evaluate the methods of resolving some industrial operative problems in the presence of a self-degeneration from the same system. The model presented is suitable for the simulation as well as prediction of the studied system. Two types of models are presented: the first ones associated with the degradation process and the others were obtained after remedying the dynamic of the system along its production time. The process of resetting new intervals for remedying the system was developed in order to extend its lifespan.

Keywords-dynamic;systems;attrition;measurements.

I. INTRODUCTION

The Poisson's distribution together with the reliability definition allows people obtaining

$$X(\tau) = 1 - e^{-A\tau} \quad (1)$$

, which is associated with the degeneration of a studied system. This concept is frequently used in both general and specific literature, as in [1-4]. The parameter A is adopted to be the rate of system's faults, t is the arbitrary time of reliability, $e^{-A\tau}$ is the reliability, while $X(\tau)$ is the attrition as a function of time. However, in addition to these results of the applications, a slaving procedure is implied by Eq. (1). This is due to the fact that it is always necessary to process the whole system's data (system or complex system) before emergent real time problems and attrition's values affect the system's operation. Consequently, it was necessary to fix the system's faults to continue the operation at least one more time, but in a more recent state. Then, the counter for the new system's parameters is reset to quantify the attrition together with its cumulative effects for the next time period, and then this cycle is repeated ad infinitum. This behavior seems a damped mass-spring response, as in [5-9]. The objectives of this work were to develop a tool to avoid the data's macro-lecture at each time period, to save

administrative time and resources during the system's operation control, and to predict the system evolution through a feedback loop using the actual system's parameters and the complex system's measurements.

II. DATA PROCESSING INTERVALS FOR A SELF-DEGENERATIVE DYNAMICAL SYSTEM

Let us consider systems with only inertial, spring and dissipative forces, as in [5-9]. Note that less forces than these three are qualitatively and quantitatively far from representing the real situation, whatever kind of dynamical system is present. Here, we propose a typical dynamic equation related to this kind of problems

$$X^{**} + A X^{*} + W^2 X = 0 \quad (2)$$

, where $(\cdot)^{*} = \frac{d}{d\tau}$ is a derivative with respect to τ . The

fonts A and W represent parameters of the system, and X is the unknown variable. In this context, the most relevant fact to point out is the assumption that X is a global, non-deterministic, and abstract property of the system. For instance, this property could be the degeneration, or the attrition, $X = X(\tau)$, of the system, and obeys Eq. (2). This equation is differential, linear, ordinary, second order, constant coefficients. Moreover, Eq. (2), when used, can model the linear asymmetric interaction due to self-degeneration. For example, by assuming a null natural frequency ($W = 0$) we have the equation

$$X^{**} + A X^{*} = 0 \quad (3)$$

Then, by adding two initial conditions, such as $X(0) = 0$ together with $X^{*}(0) = A$, in order to obtain $X(\tau) = 1 - e^{-A\tau}$, agrees with the degeneration of

the system obtained from the field of statistical analysis by applying the Poisson distribution as frequently reported in the literature, see Eq. (1). The parameter A was adopted to be the rate of faults per unit of time, while $X(\tau)$ was the attrition as a function of time.

The following sequence of steps to define a new procedure was developed:

- The system's model suffers a change from stochastic to deterministic after it has been proof that the stochastic model also obeys Eq. (3), which is valid for a dynamical system.
- Then, a periodic behavior is introduced by updating the system's dynamical law from Eq. (3) to Eq. (2) by including energy storage capability. The negative feedback control-loop (human control or machine control) acts as a spring and generates this behavior.
- Once the time period is generated, the automatic process for resetting the data intervals is implemented:
 - by measuring on site the system's parameters A , W , and then by processing the macro-data.
 - by solving $\dot{X} + A X + W^2 X = 0$ for the attrition X , the response of X over time is obtained.

Finally, it is also useful to apply both the Poisson distribution and the reliability definition repetitively at each time period, in order to allow the response to be obtained over time for a statistical tool. Therefore, a comparison should be made to calibrate both responses (dynamical and statistical).

III. CONCLUSIONS

A procedure for setting the Data Processing Intervals for analysis of Self-Degenerative Systems was given as an external support. A new tool is proposed:

- by avoiding the data lecture at each time period.
- by connecting theoretically and experimentally the Poisson distribution with the parameters and response of a dynamical system.

The tool developed was based on physical laws and can be applied to the fields of health care, economy and politics, as well as to other social sciences. This tool advises the operator which parameters should be changed in the actual dynamical system in order to obtain the desired response over time.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author would like to thank the Ministerio de Educación de la República Argentina.

REFERENCES

1. J.M. Epstein, *Measuring Military Power: The Soviet Air Threat to Europe*, Princeton University Press, 1984.
2. J.M. Epstein, *Strategy & Force Planning: The Case of the Persian Gulf*, the Brookings Institution, Washington, D.C., 1987.
3. E. Fogliato, *Un modelo para el dimensionamiento de una Fuerza Aérea*, Escuela Superior de Guerra Aérea, Fuerza Aérea Argentina, 2001.
4. E. Fogliato. *Modelo Dinámico Predictivo de La Degradación de Sistemas Aéreos en Conflicto*, ENIEF 2007, XVI Congreso sobre Métodos Numéricos y sus Aplicaciones, 2- 5 octubre 2007, Córdoba, Argentina. <http://www.famaf.unc.edu.ar/~torres/enief2007/>.
5. R.T. Ferreyra, C.A. Prato, *Análisis No Lineal de Respuesta en Tiempo y Frecuencia de una Placa Plana con Grandes Rotaciones por Elementos Finitos y Escalas Múltiples en Órdenes Superiores de Aproximación*, Revista de MECANICA COMPUTACIONAL, vol. XXVI, pp. 2471-2478, 2007.
6. R. T. Ferreyra, E. Fogliato, M. A. Ferreyra, S. García, *Oscilaciones de Relajación en la Dinámica No Lineal de la Depredación entre Sistemas*, Revista de MECANICA COMPUTACIONAL Vol XXVII, pp. 2411-2417, 2008, ISSN 1666-6070.
7. R.T. Ferreyra, *Nonlinear dynamics for the prediction of statistical distributions: An Operational Research perspective*, 26th European Conference on Operational Research, Sapienza Universita, Rome, Italy July 1-4 2013.
8. E. Fogliato, R.T. Ferreyra. *Dynamic Model of Degradation of Air Systems in Conflict*. Journal of Mechanics Engineering and Automation vol. 3, pp 453-457, 2013.
9. R.T. Ferreyra, M. A. Ferreyra, *Dynamical Laws for Statistical Distributions: Application to Complex System Analysis*, Journal of Mechanical Engineering and Automation, vol.3,Number2,2013.