## Effect of heat sources on the mechanical properties of GICs

Molina GF\*, Brain L, Mazzola I, Cabral RJ, Frencken JE

**Objectives:** To compare: 1) the output temperature of two LED light-curing devices with an average intensity of 1400mW/cm<sup>2</sup> along 60 seconds; 2) the biaxial flexural strength (BFS) of three glass-ionomer-based restorative cements, treated with the two heat sources at 10, 30 and 60 seconds and; 3) the effect of time of light-curing on the BFS of the tested cements. Methods: Output temperature of ECCO-light (SD Dental, Córdoba, Agentina) and GCP-CarboLed (GCP Dental, Vianen, The Netherlands) was measured five times for each curing unit every ten seconds during a one minute period, using a thermometer filled with red colored ethanol (LED Lamp Test kit, GCP Dental, Eimshorn, Germany). Twenty discshaped samples (2.1(±0.5)mm thick, 13(±0.5)mm diameter) of Equia-Fil (GC Tokio, Japan), Chemfil Rock (Dentsply/De Trey, Konstanz, Germany) and Glass Carbomer-Fil (GCP Dental, Vianen, The Netherlands) were prepared for each treatment group: 1) auto curing (control); 2, 3 and 4) heat-cured with ECCO-Light 10", 30" and 30"; 5, 6 and 7) heat-cured with CarboLed 10", 30" and 60" respectively, starting immediately after each disc was filled. BFS test was performed with a Universal Testing Machine (Digimess MX5000) at a crosshead speed of 1mm/min, loading the specimens centrally with a 4mm diameter ballindenter. Chi-square, ANOVA and Bonferroni correction were used for comparing output temperatures and the BFS of the three cements by time of exposure ( $\alpha$ =0.05). **Results:** At all exposure times, output temperature of CarboLed was statistically significantly higher than those of ECCO-Light(p=0.05; Bonferroni). The output temperature for CarboLed at 10" was about equal to that of ECCO-Light at 30". Significant higher BFS values in all three cements were obtained when heated for more than 30" both using CarboLed and ECCO-Light. Highest mean values were: Glass Carbomer-Fil heated with CarboLed either for 30" or 60" (85.4 and 86.1MPa) and with ECCO-Light for 60"(84.9MPa); Equia-Fil heated with CarboLed for 60"(85.2Mpa) and Chemfil Rock heated for 30" and 60" with the two units (between 77.5 and 80.7MPa). **Conclusions:** CarboLed generates more heat than ECCO-Light although both curing units produce an increase of BFS on glassionomer-based materials after 30" of exposure to the source.